

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7


8 JANE DOE, No. C 07-05596 SI
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11 CITY OF SAN MATEO, et al.,
12 Defendants.

13 /
14 **ORDER PERMITTING PLAINTIFF
ADDITIONAL TIME TO MEET AND
CONFER**

15 On June 3, 2010, the Court issued an order directing the parties to meet and confer by June
16 18, 2010 regarding plaintiff's motion to compel a response to her Interrogatory 7. (Docket No. 347).
17 Plaintiff has now filed a letter with the Court stating that she was not aware of the Court's order until
18 recently and requesting additional time to meet and confer with defendants. The request is
19 GRANTED. The parties shall meet and confer regarding the scope of plaintiff's discovery request,
20 as explained in the Court's June 3 Order, no later than September 8, 2010, and defendants shall
21 produce a response to Interrogatory 7 and a privilege log identifying the communications protected
22 by attorney-client privilege within fourteen days of the meet and confer.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24
25 Dated: September 1, 2010
26
27
28


SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge